

1

MCRC-30651-2025

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

#### **BEFORE**

## HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE DEVNARAYAN MISHRA ON THE 28<sup>th</sup> OF AUGUST, 2025

## MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 30651 of 2025

### SORA V SHARMA

Versus

## THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Appearance:

Shri Aman Dawra - Advocate for the applicant.

Shri C.K.Mishra - Government Advocate for the respondent/State.

## **ORDER**

This is the first application filed by the applicant under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.219/2025 registered at Police Station Orchha, District - Niwadi, for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338 and 344 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. It is submitted that the basic land was allotted to the father of the co-accused's husband Lokpal Singh and as per that order, he was given on lease a part of land of Survey No.37 measuring 8 acres by the Revenue Case Nos.48/A-19/1969-70 and 49/A-19/1969-70. The co-accused and her husband were in the possession but their names were not mutated in the revenue records, hence, they have filed a petition before the Board of Revenue, Gwalior and that petition was allowed and it was ordered that the Tahsildar, Orchha shall mutate the name of the co-accused regarding Survey No.37/7/1, village Babedi Forest. The review petitions were filed by the Government of M.P.



that were dismissed but after the order of Board of Revenue, Revenue Authority has not recorded the name of the co-accused, hence the co-accused has filed W.P.No.17136/2020, which was disposed of by order dated 11.11.2020 by this Court directing the Revenue Authority to mutate the name of the co-accused within 10 days, but, instead of following the order, by order dated 19.09.2023, the Collector, District Niwari allotted the disputed land to Medium and Small Scale Enterprises Authorities. Co-accused again filed a writ petition before this Court. i.e. W.P.No.15316/2025 and the appeal was filed before the Revenue Authorities and as per order dated 28.05.2025, the record of the case was called for and the Commissioner, Revenue, Zone Sagar has ordered to inquire about the fact that who has sent the record before the Commissioner. Against this order, writ petition has been filed but FIR has been registered and police wants to arrest the applicant. The applicant is a government servant, the process server has changed his statement time and again. Hence, the applicant be granted the benefit of anticipatory bail.

- 3. On the other hand, learned Government Advocate has opposed the bail application and submitted that on the forged documents, the lease deed was prepared, hence the applicant is not entitled for anticipatory bail.
  - 4. Heard the parties and perused the case diary.
- 5. It is alleged that the applicant has dispatched record that was forged as discussed above.
- 6. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case and the status brought before this Court, this Court deems it fit to enlarge the applicant on anticipatory bail. Hence, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the application is **allowed**.
  - 7. Applicant is directed to cooperate with the Investigating Agency and if

MCRC-30651-2025

3

required by the police, shall appear before the Investigating Officer when and where required (that should be reasonable) and after completion of investigation, they shall personally present before the trial Court regularly to assist for quick disposal of the case and shall not seek any undue adjournments.

- 8. On the above conditions, it is directed that in the event of arrest the applicant Sorav Sharma shall be enlarged on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) with one solvent surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer for his appearance before him during the course of investigation or before the trial Court concerned during trial, as the case may be.
- 9. It is further directed that the applicant shall abide by all the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
  - 10. Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.Certified copy as per rules.

(DEVNARAYAN MISHRA) JUDGE

VB\*