



IN THE COURT OF ~~THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA~~ CIVIL AND
~~AT BENGALURU~~

Dated this the ~~2~~ of October 2025

Present : ~~[REDACTED]~~ ., B.A.(L), LL.B.,
~~[REDACTED]~~

O.S.No. ~~[REDACTED]~~

PLAINTIFF : ~~[REDACTED]~~

Aged about 28 years,
R/at ~~[REDACTED]~~

And also Resident at:

No ~~[REDACTED]~~
~~[REDACTED]~~
~~[REDACTED]~~

(By ~~[REDACTED]~~

V/s

DEFENDANT : ~~[REDACTED]~~

R/at ~~[REDACTED]~~
~~[REDACTED]~~
~~[REDACTED]~~
~~[REDACTED]~~

(By ~~[REDACTED]~~)

Date of institution of the suit.	7.2021						
Nature of the suit	Permanent Injunction, Mandatory injunction and damages						
Date of the commencement of recording evidence	3						
Date on which the Judgment was pronounced	10.2025						
Total duration	<table> <thead> <tr> <th>Years</th> <th>Months</th> <th>Days</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>04</td> <td>02</td> <td>26</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Years	Months	Days	04	02	26
Years	Months	Days					
04	02	26					

JUDGMENT

The plaintiff filed this suit against the defendant for the following reliefs;

- i. Permanent injunction restraining the defendant from committing any of the acts of defaming the plaintiff in future by making defamatory statements.

- ii. Permanent injunction restraining the defendant from contacting any of the family members, peers, employer, friends or any person associated with the plaintiff either directly or indirectly.
- iii. Mandatory injunction directing the defendant to issue a public apology in relation to the defamatory emails sent by her with regard to the plaintiff.
- iv. Decree for damages of Rs.2,00,000/- or higher amount as this court may be determined from the defendant to the plaintiff.
- v. Costs of the suit.

2. The brief facts of the case of the plaintiff are that the plaintiff is an employee at an [REDACTED] named [REDACTED]. On 18.11.2019, he met the defendant through an online dating platform. From December 2019 to June 2021, they were in a live in relationship. The defendant all throughout the said relationship was very spendthrift, not on her own money, but with the money of the plaintiff. The plaintiff made substantial expenditure at the behest of the defendant during their relationship for about 17 - 18

months. He bought a laptop, dresses, etc. and many other things for the defendant. The plaintiff after realizing that the defendant has no emotional attachment with him and she is only centered at the finances and materialistic aspect of the things, decided to peacefully part his way from the defendant. Therefore, he confronted his decision with the defendant for which, the defendant reacted most inappropriate manner and started to abuse the plaintiff and threatened him with dire consequences. In view of the said reaction of the defendant, the plaintiff decided to get away from her at the earliest. On 09.07.2021, the defendant called the plaintiff to continue the relationship for which, the plaintiff refused. At the time, the defendant infuriated and started raising frivolous allegations against the plaintiff. On 10.07.2021, the defendants sent 3 emails to the plaintiff. In the first email, she mentioned that the plaintiff was with her for 17 months and the address and other details of their live in relationship. She threatened that she is coming the plaintiff's society with the Police. In the second email, the defendant threatened the plaintiff

that she will file a First Information Report against him making him that he should never able to go to US and asked for her belongings. In the third email, she also threatened the plaintiff that she will file First Information Report against him. On 11.07.2021, the defendant sent two emails. In the first email, she abused and blamed him and also threatened him with dire consequences. In the second email, she threatened to reach out his mother and informed her of the false version she created out of vengeance. On the very same day, the defendant sent an email to the mother of the plaintiff with concocted and vulgar version of the relationship and severely defamed and damaged the reputation of the plaintiff in front of his mother. The mother of the plaintiff after receipt of the said email confronted the plaintiff with its contents and when the plaintiff informed his mother that the defendant is doing so only out of spite, on 12.07.2021, the mother of the plaintiff sent reply to the defendant that allegations made by her are false and she knows that the plaintiff is bonafide. On the same day, the defendant sent another email to the plaintiff alleging that

he had sex with her 85 times and raised a demand for Rs.30.00 lakhs from him with malafide intention to extort money from him by making false and frivolous allegations. The defendant has also tried to reach out his colleagues, seniors at work place, his college administration, peers and people at the work place of his mother with an intention to malign the reputation and character of the plaintiff and to extort money by him. On 19.07.2021, the defendant sent email to his college authorities complaining about his character. It reached out to his college friends, his previous employer and his senior colleagues at his present work place and made defamatory statements about him. On the same day, she called work place of the mother of the plaintiff, asked her the personal contact number of the plaintiff and sent call recording conversation to the plaintiff over email to further intimidate him. On 22.07.2021, the defendant sent a threatening email to the plaintiff stating that she will register a FIR for the offence punishable under Section 375 of the IPC against him, screenshot of consequences thereafter creating extreme pressure on him

to give her extortion and illegally demanded money of Rs.30.00 lakhs. Due to the said acts of the defendant, the plaintiff suffered insult, social embarrassment and mental harassment. The plaintiff is afraid that the defendant will commit the same acts in future and she will reach out his family, friends and employer to malign his reputation. Hence, the plaintiff has filed the present suit.

3. After due service of summons to the defendant, she has appeared before this Court through her learned Counsel. But, she has not filed any written statement.

4. To prove the case of the plaintiff, he has produced his oral evidences as PW1. He has also produced the documentary evidences Ex.P1 to Ex.P9.

5. Heard the arguments of the learned Counsel for the plaintiff. The learned Counsel for the plaintiff filed written arguments. Perused the materials available on record.

6. The following points are arisen for consideration;

1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the reliefs as prayed ?

2. What order or decree?
7. My answers to the above points are as follows;
 - Point No.1 : In the Affirmative,
 - Point No.2 : As per final order for the following;
8. **POINT No.1** :- The pleadings of the plaintiff are remained undisputed by the defendant. Still, the burden is upon the plaintiff to prove his case by producing the reliable and cogent evidences. In that regard, the plaintiff has produced his oral evidences as PW1. He filed his affidavit by way of examination-in-chief. In the said affidavit, he has reiterated the plaint averments. In support of the oral evidences of PW1, the plaintiff has also produced the documentary evidences Ex.P1 to Ex.P9. Among them, the Ex.P1 to Ex.P6 are E-mail Letters, Ex.P.8 is 21 documents containing the defamatory statements and Ex.P.7 and Ex.P9 are the certificates under Section 65-B of the Indian Evidence Act. These evidences produced by the plaintiff are remaining undisputed by the defendant.

9. On perusal of the above evidences produced by the plaintiff both oral and documentary, it appears that the defendant sent emails containing threatening contents to the plaintiff and also defamatory statements to his mother, his college authorities, colleagues and employer. To live in a dignified life is the fundamental right of every citizen of this country. A person cannot defame or intimidate the other. They are illegal acts. Therefore, the above alleged acts of the defendant are illegal acts. It continued till recent past to filing of this suit. The plaintiff apprehending that it will be continued in future also. Therefore, the plaintiff is entitled for the reliefs as prayed in the suit. Hence, I answer **Point No.1 in the Affirmative.**

10. **POINT No.2** :- In view of the findings on Point No.1, the suit of the plaintiff is liable to be decreed with costs. In the result, I proceed to pass the following;

ORDERS

The suit of the plaintiff is hereby decreed with costs.

The is hereby restrained by way of permanent injunction from committing any of the acts of defaming the plaintiff in future by making defamatory statements and from contacting any of the family members, peers, employer, friends or any person associated with the plaintiff either directly or indirectly.

The defendant is hereby directed by way of Mandatory injunction to issue a public apology in relation to the defamatory emails sent by her with regard to the plaintiff within 3 months from the date of this order.

The defendant is hereby directed to pay damages of Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs only) to the plaintiff within 3 months from the date of this order.

Draw the decree accordingly.

(Dictated to the stenographer, typed by her, printout taken, corrected and then pronounced by me in the open court today on this the 24th day of October 2025).

[REDACTED]
VV [REDACTED] Civil Case No. 11,
Bengaluru.
C [REDACTED] Civil Case No. 11,
Bengaluru.