

RXXXXXXX V/S STATE OF HARYANA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

1. Again, during the midst of hearing in the present case, mobile phone is being used by learned counsel for answering the Court query by searching the required information therein, which in fact, ought to have been collected by the learned counsel in advance to the hearing, while preparing the case for arguments.

2. This Court is concerned and bothered time and again by the respective members of the Bar using mobile phones during the course of hearing, just in front of the Court. Even sometimes, proceedings are to be stalled, awaiting the answer, which would come only after retrieving information from such mobile phone.

3. Earlier also, in **CRM-M-50544-2025**, titled as, “**Ravneet Singh Sandhu @ Manveer Singh v. UT of Chandigarh**”, on **19.09.2025**, a mobile phone was seized, and as informed by the Court staff officials, the information was sent to the Executive of the High Court Bar Association for its circulation amongst the Bar members.

4. Let today's order be also supplied, so that the President/Secretary of the Bar Association may apprise the worthy members not to compel the Court to pass any harsh order on account of repeated use of mobile phones during the course of hearing to update themselves through artificial intelligence/online platforms/google information.

5. List this case again on **20.11.2025**.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

September 30, 2025

J.Ram