



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 15342 of 2025

Varsha

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Parvesh Kumar Pandey, Vijay Babu
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: C.S.C., Harshit Pandey

Court No. - 52

HON'BLE MRS. MANJU RANI CHAUHAN, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Harshit Pandey, learned counsel for the respondent nos.2 and 3 and Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh, learned Chief Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.
2. In compliance with the order dated 10.11.2025, Mr. Sai Teja, Nagar Ayukt, Nagar Nigam, Prayagraj is present before this Court, and his signature has been duly endorsed on the order sheet.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent nos.2 and 3 submits that after the order was passed by this Court, the respondent authority has taken an affidavit from respondent no.4 with respect to the maintenance of the child. In the said affidavit, the respondent no.4 has averred that she is ready to pay an amount of Rs.5,000/- per month to the petitioner for her maintenance.
4. In view of the aforesaid, learned counsel for the respondent nos.2 and 3 is directed to file an affidavit annexing the affidavit of respondent no.4 along with details of other measures that will be taken for the safety, security, and maintenance of the petitioner.

measures will be taken to ensure due care and vigilance in such situations. He has also acknowledged the significance of timely intervention and proper supervision in matters concerning the safety and welfare of the dependents of deceased employees and undertakes to introduce necessary precautions to avert any lapses in the future.

7. Considering the circumstances of the present case, wherein the litigant is a minor child (the daughter of the deceased employee) who is compelled to approach here against her stepmother, this Court constrains to observe that moral values of our society are being depleted as could never be imagined. Relations are being disregarded, whereas the Government promotes a number of welfare schemes for the girl child, namely, Beti Bachao Beti Padhao, Nanhi Kali, Sukanya Samridhi Yojana (SSY) etc. Being a citizen, even if anybody, who does not have good proximity in family, should extend contribution in well being of a girl child for the sake of a harmony in our society. It is not only the duty of the Government only, though of each and every individual to protect the future of bereaved minors who are in penury, especially girl child. The Court expects respondent no.4 may extend healthy behavior with the minor girl child (petitioner).

8. The Nagar Ayukt, Mr. Sai Teja, while assisting the Court, has eloquently explained the precautions he will undertake in the future while granting compassionate appointments to the dependents of deceased employees. His clarity, sincerity, and commitment to ensuring transparency and fairness in the process are highly commendable. The Court places on record its appreciation for his thoughtful approach and his dedication to improving administrative procedures.

9. The officer concerned is not required to be present on the next date.