



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]Petitioner

Through: Mr Abhinay Sharma, Adv ; Ms
Parul Khurana, Adv ; Ms Kirti
Vyas, Adv ; Ms Sakshi Tripathi,
Adv.

Versus

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

%

12.11.2025

[REDACTED]
Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The applications are disposed of.

- [REDACTED]
1. This is a petition filed under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 seeking to challenge the Arbitral Award dated 17.07.2025, wherein all the claims of the petitioner were rejected.
 2. Mr. Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner, among other grounds, states that the members of Standing Arbitral Tribunal were unilaterally appointed by the respondent through curative list of panel given by the respondent and were ineligible to be appointed as members and the facts squarely falls within the judgment of ***Central Organisation for Railway Electrification v. ECI SPIC SMO MCML***



(JV), 2024 SCC OnLine SC 3219.

3. For the said reasons, issue notice to the respondent through all modes including electronic on the petitioner taking steps within 1 week, returnable on 11.03.2026.

JASMEET SINGH, J

NOVEMBER 12, 2025/DM