

Bail Matter No.1094/2025
Vashu Gupta @ Vashu Bubna Vs. The State of Delhi.
FIR No.285/2025
PS Laxmi Nagar
u/s 85/108 BNS

17.11.2025

Bail application is received by way of assignment in terms of orders no.2442-2472/Judl/East/East/KKD/Delhi/2025 dated 10.09.2025 passed by Ld. Principal District and Sessions Judge, East District, KKD, Delhi.

Bail Application Under Section 483 BNSS.

Present: Mr. Praveen Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Mr. Rajiv Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant /accused.

Mr. Atul Jain, Ld. Counsel for the complainant alongwith complainant.

SI Khusbhu on behalf of IO SI Sachin Sharma.

Reply has been filed to the bail application by SI Khusbhu. Copy supplied to both the parties.

Arguments on the bail application heard.

1. Vide the present bail application, it is submitted on behalf of applicant / accused that the applicant / accused is an innocent and law abiding citizen and that he has been falsely implicated by the complainant. It is submitted that as per contents of the FIR, no ingredients of crime has been made out against the applicant / accused and the complainant and her family members have leveled bald and vague allegations against the applicant / accused. It is

submitted that the applicant / accused is in judicial custody since 25.10.2025.

2. It is submitted that the marriage of sister of applicant has been fixed on 30.11.2025 at Mystique Banquets, 23, Shiva JI Marg, Rama road, Moti Nagar, Delhi and *sagan* ceremony has been fixed for 21.11.2025. It is submitted that as per the FIR, false and fabricated allegations have been leveled against the application. It is submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the applicant and if so, it is totally planted by the police. It is submitted that the applicant is residing at the address mentioned in the application and there is no chance of him absconding or tampering with the prosecution evidence. It is submitted that the applicant / accused is ready to furnish reliable sound surety to the satisfaction of the court. It is thus prayed that the applicant be granted bail.
3. Ld. Addl. PP for the State has strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that there are serious allegations against the applicant / accused and the post mortem report is still pending. It is submitted that the suicide note of the victim has been recovered during investigation, wherein she has mentioned that she has already tried to commit suicide and thus, it is entirely possible that when the suicide attempt of the victim failed, the applicant / accused had committed her murder. It is submitted that the investigation is at its initial stage and all the angles are being investigated. It is submitted that the applicant is the husband of the victim and if released on

bail at this stage, he may hamper the investigation. With regard to the marriage of the sister of applicant / accused, it is submitted that the applicant /accused is residing separately from his sister and even the booking form shows that the same has been booked by the sister of applicant on her own. It is submitted that the applicant / accused is not engaged in any ceremonies of his family and this ground has been raised only to seek bail. It is thus prayed that the bail application may be dismissed.

4. Ld. counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail application on the ground that the applicant /accused has regularly tortured the victim which made her take such an extreme step. It is submitted that on one hand, applicant is showing concern for the marriage of his sister but on the other hand, he maltreated and tortured his own wife. It is submitted that the applicant has been disowned by his family in the year 2020 itself and he is taking flimsy ground for obtaining bail. It is further submitted that the complainant is repeatedly receiving calls from the family members of the applicant to withdraw this complaint and assist in the bail application or else to face dire consequences in the society. It is thus prayed that the application may be dismissed.
5. I have considered the submissions and perused the record carefully.

6. The present case has been registered on a complaint made by mother of the deceased stating that her daughter i.e. deceased got married to applicant on 30.11.2020 and soon after marriage, the applicant started harassing the deceased. It is alleged that the accused used to consume liquor, quarrel with the deceased and he used to beat her. It is alleged that on 21.10.2025, at around 08:00 am, the father of deceased received a call from applicant /accused that he had quarreled with the deceased in the night and went to his mother's place and the deceased was not picking the call of the applicant. At around 12:00 noon, applicant informed the brother of the deceased that the deceased was no more. When the brother of the deceased reached the house of the deceased, he found the applicant in inebriated condition and the deceased was hanging from fan. The police was called and the investigation was carried out.

7. During investigation, a diary was found containing suicide note of the victim, wherein she has alleged harassment and cruelty by the applicant and that she had already tried to commit suicide but the same had no effect on the applicant. The suicide note *prima facie* shows that the deceased was driven to commit suicide because of the conduct of the applicant /accused. The postmortem report of the deceased is awaited and it is reported that the applicant had a fight with the deceased soon before she committed suicide. The investigation in this case is at

nascent stage and there is high probability that the applicant /accused may influence or intimidate the witnesses and tamper with the evidence, if released on bail.

8. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the seriousness of the alleged offences, the court is not inclined to grant bail to the applicant /accused at this stage. The application accordingly stands dismissed.

9. However, it is made clear that nothing expressed hereinabove, shall tantamount to any opinion on the merits of this case.

10. Copy of this order be given dasti as requested.

11. Hard copy of the order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent through Dak Dispatch and Soft copy be also e-mailed in terms of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled SMWP (Crl.) No.4/2021 in Re Policy Strategy for grant of bail vs. Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv. Amicus Curiae.

File be sent back to the Bail Section.

(**SWATI KATIYAR**)
ASJ (Special FTC)
East, KKD, Delhi.
17.11.2025(b)