

**Court No. - 27**

**Case :- APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 4584 of 2024**

**Applicant :-** Haroon Khan

**Opposite Party :-** State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Deptt.

Govt. Lko. And Another

**Counsel for Applicant :-** Aviral Raj Singh, Ashutosh Verma, Palash Banerjee, Purnendu Chakravarty, Ritwick Rai, Vaibhav Tiwari

**Counsel for Opposite Party :-** G.A.

**Hon'ble Shamim Ahmed, J.**

Sri Purnendu Chakravarty, learned counsel for the applicant submits that licensed revolver bearing no. 1151101332 of the applicant was lost and its license is valid upto 17.11.2025. Information of which was given to the concerned police, however, no F.I.R. was lodged by the police. Being aggrieved, the applicant approached the concerned Magistrate by filing application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., however, the same has been rejected by the learned Magistrate vide order dated 25.08.2021 without application of judicial mind.

He further submits that the applicant has approached the learned Revisional Court against the order of learned Magistrate dated 25.8.2021, however, the same has also been rejected by the learned Revisional Court vide order dated 19.04.2024 in an arbitrary manner without application of judicial mind.

Thus, he submits that the applicant is aggrieved that if some incident took place by the alleged lost weapon, then it is not the responsibility of the applicant as the State has denied to lodge the F.I.R. and even though the learned Magistrate has passed the impugned orders without considering the seriousness of the matter.

Sri Ashok Kumar Singh, learned A.G.A.-I alongwith Sri Bhasker Mal, learned A.G.A. for the State submits that before passing of any order, they may be given some time to file counter affidavit.

Accordingly, three weeks' time is granted for filing counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit may also be filed within a week thereafter.

Put up this case on 08.07.2024 before appropriate Bench.

**Order Date :-** 21.5.2024

Saurabh



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD  
LUCKNOW**

**APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 4584 of 2024**

Haroon Khan

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Deptt. Govt.  
Lko. And Another

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Aviral Raj Singh, Ashutosh Verma,  
Palash Banerjee, Purnendu Chakravarty, Ritwick Rai, Vaibhav  
Tiwari

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

---

**Court No. - 14**

**HON'BLE RAJEEV SINGH, J.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the material available on record.
2. This application has been filed for quashing the order dated 19.04.2024 passed in Criminal Revision No. 325 of 2023 as well as order dated 25.08.2021 passed in Criminal Case No. 6163 of 2020. A further prayer of seeking direction to P.S. Hazratganj, District Lucknow to lodge F.I.R. with respect to the stolen revolver of the applicant, has been sought.
3. On 21.05.2024, following order was passed.

"Sri Purnendu Chakravarty, learned counsel for the applicant submits that licensed revolver bearing no.1151101332 of the applicant was lost and its license is valid upto 17.11.2025. Information of which was given to the concerned police, however, no F.I.R. was lodged by the police. Being aggrieved, the applicant approached the concerned Magistrate by filing application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., however, the same has been rejected by the learned Magistrate vide order dated 25.08.2021 without application of judicial mind.

He further submits that the applicant has approached the learned Revisional Court against the order of learned Magistrate dated 25.8.2021, however, the same has also been rejected by the learned Revisional Court vide order dated 19.04.2024 in an arbitrary manner without application of judicial mind.

Thus, he submits that the applicant is aggrieved that if some incident took place by the alleged lost weapon, then it is not the responsibility of the applicant as the State has denied to lodge the F.I.R. and even though the learned Magistrate has passed the impugned orders without considering the seriousness of the matter.

Sri Ashok Kumar Singh, learned A.G.A.-I alongwith Sri Bhasker Mal, learned A.G.A. for the State submits that before passing of any order, they may be given some time to file counter affidavit.

Accordingly, three weeks' time is granted for filing counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit may also be filed within a week thereafter.

Put up this case on 08.07.2024 before appropriate Bench."

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that neither any affidavit nor any instruction has been placed before this Court in pursuance of the aforesaid order. It is further submitted that the applicant is having armed License No. 7762 and was having revolver bearing No. 115101332 (.22 bore). It is also submitted that on 1st November, 2020, the applicant lost his revolver near Saharaganj Mall, Lucknow, for which, he made a written complaint on 02.11.2020 to the S.H.O., P.S. Hazratganj, District Lucknow for lodging of the F.I.R. It is next submitted that since no action was taken on the same and he was under apprehension that the aforesaid weapon can be misused, he filed application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. before the Magistrate concerned, but the said application was also rejected vide impugned order dated 25.08.2021.

5. List this case on 19.11.2025 within top 10 cases.

6. In the meantime, learned A.G.A. shall seek written instructions from the Commissioner of Police, Lucknow as well as District Magistrate, Hardoi and Superintendent of Police, Hardoi that why the F.I.R. about the missing of licensee weapon of the applicant was not lodged by the competent authority.

(Rajeev Singh,J.)

**October 31, 2025**

VKS



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD  
LUCKNOW**

**APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 4584 of 2024**

Haroon Khan

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Deptt. Govt.  
Lko. And Another

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Aviral Raj Singh, Ashutosh Verma,  
Palash Banerjee, Purnendu Chakravarty, Ritwick Rai, Vaibhav  
Tiwari

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

---

**Court No. - 14**

**HON'BLE RAJEEV SINGH, J.**

1. On 31.10.2025, following order was passed :-

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the material available on record.

2. This application has been filed for quashing the order dated 19.04.2024 passed in Criminal Revision No. 325 of 2023 as well as order dated 25.08.2021 passed in Criminal Case No. 6163 of 2020. A further prayer of seeking direction to P.S. Hazratganj, District Lucknow to lodge F.I.R. with respect to the stolen revolver of the applicant, has been sought.

3. On 21.05.2024, following order was passed.

"Sri Purnendu Chakravarty, learned counsel for the applicant submits that licensed revolver bearing no.1151101332 of the applicant was lost and its license is valid upto 17.11.2025. Information of which was given to the concerned police, however, no F.I.R. was lodged by the police. Being aggrieved, the applicant approached the concerned Magistrate by filing application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., however, the same has been rejected by the learned Magistrate vide order dated 25.08.2021 without application of judicial mind.

He further submits that the applicant has approached the learned Revisional Court against the order of learned Magistrate dated 25.8.2021, however, the same has also been rejected by the learned Revisional Court vide order dated 19.04.2024 in an arbitrary manner without application of judicial mind.

Thus, he submits that the applicant is aggrieved that if some incident took place by the alleged lost weapon, then it is not the responsibility of the applicant as the State has denied to lodge the

*F.I.R. and even though the learned Magistrate has passed the impugned orders without considering the seriousness of the matter.*

*Sri Ashok Kumar Singh, learned A.G.A.-I alongwith Sri Bhasker Mal, learned A.G.A. for the State submits that before passing of any order, they may be given some time to file counter affidavit.*

*Accordingly, three weeks' time is granted for filing counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit may also be filed within a week thereafter.*

*Put up this case on 08.07.2024 before appropriate Bench."*

*4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that neither any affidavit nor any instruction has been placed before this Court in pursuance of the aforesaid order. It is further submitted that the applicant is having armed License No. 7762 and was having revolver bearing No. 115101332 (.22 bore). It is also submitted that on 1st November, 2020, the applicant lost his revolver near Saharaganj Mall, Lucknow, for which, he made a written complaint on 02.11.2020 to the S.H.O., P.S. Hazratganj, District Lucknow for lodging of the F.I.R. It is next submitted that since no action was taken on the same and he was under apprehension that the aforesaid weapon can be misused, he filed application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. before the Magistrate concerned, but the said application was also rejected vide impugned order dated 25.08.2021.*

*5. List this case on 19.11.2025 within top 10 cases.*

*6. In the meantime, learned A.G.A. shall seek written instructions from the Commissioner of Police, Lucknow as well as District Magistrate, Hardoi and Superintendent of Police, Hardoi that why the F.I.R. about the missing of licensee weapon of the applicant was not lodged by the competent authority.*

*2. Learned A.G.A. informs that order was communicated to the authorities but instructions could not be received and requested for 24 hours time.*

*3. Prayer is allowed.*

*4. List this case on 21.11.2025 within top ten cases.*

**(Rajeev Singh,J.)**

**November 19, 2025**

GauraV/-



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD  
LUCKNOW**

**APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 4584 of 2024**

Haroon Khan

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Deptt. Govt.  
Lko. And Another

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Aviral Raj Singh, Ashutosh Verma,  
Palash Banerjee, Purnendu Chakravarty, Ritwick Rai, Vaibhav  
Tiwari

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

---

**Court No. - 14**

**HON'BLE RAJEEV SINGH, J.**

1. In pursuance of the earlier order, written instructions are placed by learned AGA duly signed by Ms. Nisha Singh, Sub-Inspector, P.S. Kotwali Hazratganj, District Lucknow and Station House Officer, P.S. Sadila, District Hardoi.
2. As the instructions provided by Sub-Inspector P.S. Kotwali Hazratganj, District Lucknow are not sufficient, on the request of learned AGA, list this case on 25.11.2025. It is also expected that on the next date, learned Government Advocate will assist the Court.
3. Written instruction provided by learned AGA are taken on record.
4. In case the complete instruction are not produced before this Court, SHO, Hazratganj, Lucknow shall appear before this Court.

**(Rajeev Singh,J.)**

**November 21, 2025**  
Anurag



2025:AHC-LKO:77538

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD  
LUCKNOW**

**APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 4584 of 2024**

Haroon Khan

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Deptt. Govt.  
Lko. And Another

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Aviral Raj Singh, Ashutosh Verma,  
Palash Banerjee, Purnendu Chakravarty, Ritwick Rai, Vaibhav  
Tiwari

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

---

**Court No. - 14**

**HON'BLE RAJEEV SINGH, J.**

1. Heard.

2. This application has been filed with the following prayer:-

*"A. To quash the impugned order dated 19.04.2024 passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-III, Lucknow in Criminal Revision No. 325/2023;*

*B. To quash the impugned order dated 25.08.2021 passed by the Court of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lucknow in Criminal Misc. Case No. 6163/2020;*

*C. To direct the P.S.- Hazratganj, District- Lucknow for lodging F.I.R. with respect to the stolen revolver of the applicant;*

*D. To issue suitable directions for taking appropriate action against the officials of PS-Hazratganj, District Lucknow, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, rendered in the case of Lalita Kumari (Supra);*

*E. To pass any other order / direction as is deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the instant case in favour of the Applicant, and*

*F. To allow the costs of the instant application, in favour of the Applicant."*

3. In pursuance of earlier order, learned A.G.A. has placed written

instructions dated 25.11.2025 duly signed by Mr. Vikas Jaiswal, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Hazratganj, Commissionerate Lucknow alongwith copy of the F.I.R. No. 325 of 2025 u/s 379 I.P.C., Police Station- Hazratganj, District- Lucknow, which are taken on record.

Learned A.G.A. informs that aforesaid F.I.R. has already been lodged.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present application has lost its efficacy.

5. Accordingly, the application is **dismissed** as infructuous.

**(Rajeev Singh,J.)**

**November 25, 2025**

Arpan