



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 2843 of 2018

Smt. Meera Devi

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s) : Upendra Kumar Mishra
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A., Indrasen Singh Tomar

Court No. - 89

HON'BLE DIVESH CHANDRA SAMANT, J.

1. Learned counsel for the Revisionist and learned AGA for the State are present.

2. Learned counsel, Sri Indrasen Singh Tomar, who is also Additional Chief Standing Counsel is appearing for the opposite party No. 2.

3. Learned counsel, Sri Indrasen Singh Tomar, requested for permission to appear on behalf of opposite party No. 2, which is opposed by learned counsel for the Revisionist.

4. Learned counsel for the Revisionist submitted that learned counsel, Sri Indrasen Singh Tomar has been assigned to work as Additional Chief Standing Counsel, therefore, he cannot appear for a private party in a case in which State of U.P. is a party.

7. It is also important to ask for a report from Principal Secretary(Law) & L.R. about the rights and duties of Additional Chief Standing Counsel in regard to his appearance on behalf of a private party as a counsel. Accordingly, the Principal Secretary(Law) & L.R. is directed to submit a report with requisite provisions/rules in this regard within two weeks.

8. List after two weeks peremptorily.

9. Registrar(Compliance) is directed to send a copy of this order to Principal Secretary(Law) & L.R. within 48 hours for necessary compliance.

January 7, 2026
ADY

(Divesh Chandra Samant,J.)