



\$~33

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 4927/2025 & CM APPL. 65184/2025

PAWAN KUMAR MATTO

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Sagar Suri and Mr.Shubham
Gupta, Advs.

versus

**HONBLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI THROUGH ITS
REGISTRAR GENERAL**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr.S. Sunil, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

%

22.01.2026

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner praying for the following reliefs:

“A. Issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Certiorari or any other appropriate Writ for Quashing of ACR dated 04.07.2024 for the Year 2023 which was communicated to the Petitioner/Judicial Officer on 24.07.2024 wherein Petitioner/Judicial Officer's grading has been substantially downgraded from 'A+ (Outstanding)' [Petitioner/Judicial Officer's grading in 2022] to 'B+ (Good)' without providing any opportunity of being heard to the Petitioner/Judicial Officer; AND

B. Issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Certiorarified Mandamus setting-aside the rejection of the representation dated 08.08.2024 which has been communicated to the Petitioner/Judicial Officer vide Letters dated 16.01.2025 and 18.01.2025 through Principal District and Sessions Judge, Shahdara District, Karkardooma Courts which was received by the Petitioner/ Judicial Officer on 20.01.2025 and thereby direct the Respondent to restore the 'A+' grade of the Petitioner/ Judicial Officer for the Year 2023;



AND

C. Issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Certiorari or any other appropriate Writ for Quashing of Full Court Resolution dated 04.07.2024 whereby Petitioner/ Judicial Officer was directed to undergo a days' Training on 29th August, 2024 at Delhi Judicial Academy."

2. Pursuant to our order dated 15.10.2025, and on a representation made by the petitioner, we are informed that the ACR of the petitioner for the year 2023 has been upgraded from B+ to A. The petitioner, however, is not satisfied with the same.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently submits that the petitioner is entitled to be graded as A+ for the concerned year, especially when the special remarks recorded at the time of downgrading him no longer survive.

4. In our view, as the representation of the petitioner has been duly considered by the respondent and a fresh order has been passed, it would give a fresh cause of action to the petitioner to challenge the same in accordance with law, if he is aggrieved of the same.

5. As far as the present petition is concerned, we dispose it of, reserving liberty with the petitioner to challenge the order passed in his representation, if so advised.

6. The petition, along with the pending application, is accordingly disposed of.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

JANUARY 22, 2026/ns/Yg