

ITEM NO.58

COURT NO.7

SECTION XI

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).7875/2026**

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 15-10-2025 in CA No. 14/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

JAMP INDIA PHARMACEUTICALS PRIVATE LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

JUBILANT GENERICS LTD. &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

**FOR ADMISSION**

IA No. 78401/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 77820/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 77819/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURESIA No. 78400/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

IA No. 62185/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES

Date : 16-03-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

**CORAM :**

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

**For Petitioner(s) :**

M/S. Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas Aor, AOR

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ankoosh Mehta, Adv.

Mr. Vikash Kumar Jha, Adv.

Ms. Mansi Chheda, Adv.

Mr. Shivam Tiwari, Adv.

Ms. Ena Kapur, Adv.

Mr. Aakash Agarwal, Adv.

**For Respondent(s) :**

Mr. Abhinav Mukherjee, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Kheyali Singh, AOR

Mr. Rohit Jain, Adv.

Mr. Nitin Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Angad Makkar, Adv.

Mr. Abhay Aren, Adv.

Mr. Amit Sibal, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Nishit Agrawal, AOR  
Mr. Ramayni Sood, Adv.  
Ms. Sakshi Dhingra, Adv.  
Mr. Ankit Honda, Adv.  
Ms. Kanishka Mittal, Adv.  
Ms. Deepti Rathi, Adv.

Mr. L Zafeer Ahmad BF, AOR  
Mr. Kushagra Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Purvi Mathur, Adv.  
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. We heard Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, the learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mr. Abhinav Mukherjee, the learned senior counsel appearing for the respondent no.1 on caveat and Mr. Amit Sibal, the learned senior counsel appearing for the respondent nos. 2 and 3.
2. We are of the view that no error, not to speak of any error of law could be said to have been committed by the High Court in passing the impugned judgment and order.
3. It is needless to clarify that the suit shall be decided, uninfluenced by any of the observations made by the High Court in the impugned judgment and order.
4. We are informed that this litigation between the parties has ultimately led to filing of First Information Reports. If that be so, it shall be open for the petitioner to avail appropriate legal remedy before the appropriate forum in accordance with law for the purpose of getting the FIRs quashed in accordance with law.
5. In view of the aforesaid, the Special Leave Petition stands disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POOJA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)