

ITEM NO.22

COURT NO.17

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 13962/2026

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 30-03-2026 in  
FAO (COMM) No. 69/2026 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New  
Delhi]

SHIVANG EDIBLES OILS LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

K.S. OILS LIMITED &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 119049/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
JUDGMENT, IA No. 119050/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 27-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Neeraj Grover, Adv.  
Mr. Arjun Mahajan, Adv.  
Mr. Sumit R. Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Rashi Khanna, AOR  
Mr. Raghvendra N. Budholia, Adv.  
Mr. Keshav Sehgal, Adv.  
Mr. Sagar Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Piyush Gautam, Adv.  
Mr. Harshit Kapoor, Adv.  
Mr. Manav Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Siddhant Bajaj, Adv.  
Mr. Aryan Verma, Adv.  
Ms. Chaitanya Grover, Adv.  
Ms. Bhavya Arora, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Dr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. J. Sai Deepak, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Yatin Chadha, Adv.  
Mr. Mayank Chadha, Adv.  
Mr. Dr. Harsh Surana, Adv.  
Mr. Priyansha Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Palash Singhai, AOR  
Mr. Kunal Khanna, Adv.  
Mr. Gurvinder Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek, Adv.  
Mr. Harshal Sareen, Adv.  
Ms. Aashima Gautam, Adv.

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R**

1. Heard Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner, Dr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi, learned Senior counsel and Mr. J. Sai Deepak, learned Senior counsel for the respondents.

2. The impugned order is an order remanding the matter to the learned District Judge (Commercial Court)-02, North-West/Rohini Courts, New Delhi (for short, 'Commercial Court').

The operative part of the order reads as under:-

"39. The Trial Court shall decide the injunction application on its own merits, uninfluenced by any observations made in the present order. All the rights and contention of the parties are left open. The Trial Court is requested to take up the injunction application, upon completion of pleadings for expeditious hearing, in accordance with its calender and preferably decide the application within one(1) month from completion of the pleadings."

3. The impugned order itself was passed on 30.03.2026. We are told that pleadings are complete and the Commercial Court has posted the matter on 04.06.2026.

4. We are of the opinion that since the pleadings are complete and both the parties are before the Court, the date of hearing be advanced from 04.06.2026. We request the Commercial Court to set down the application under Order 39

Rule 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, of the petitioner/plaintiff for hearing in the week beginning from 04.05.2026 and dispose of the application at the earliest.

5. We have refrained from commenting on the merits of the matter principally because we do not want any observation made by us to come in the way of the Commercial Court deciding the matter. Equally for the said reasons we direct that the Commercial Court will decide the matter uninfluenced by the observations in the impugned order also.

6. Both the plaintiff and defendants are before us, liberty is granted to them to bring this order to the notice of the learned Commercial Court who shall act in accordance with the terms of this order.

7. The Special Leave Petition is disposed of in the above terms.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(NIRMALA NEGI)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(MANOJ KUMAR)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)