

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.7

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 2862/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 12-01-2026 in CRMA No. 40276/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

PHIRERAM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 38800/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 21-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAY BISHNOI

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Khan, AOR  
Mr. Bilal Khan, Adv.  
Mr. M Dhandapani, Adv.  
Mr. Mohd Israr Khan, Adv.  
Mr. H.R. Khan, ADV

For Respondent(s) :Dr. Vijendra Singh, AOR  
Mr. Aniket Tiwari, Adv.  
Ms. Kim Rani, Adv.

Mr. Animesh Upadhyay, Adv.  
Mr. Harsh Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. Monika Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Mr. Satyarth Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Kabir Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Prashant Rawat, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. This petition arise from the order passed by the High Court dated 12.01.2026 in Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 40276/2025 by which the application preferred by the respondent no.2 before (the original accused) praying for bail in connection with the First Information Report bearing No.0137 of 2022 registered with Surajpur Police Station, Middle Commissionerate, Gautam Buddha Nagar, U.P. for the offence punishable under Sections 302, 364, 201 and 120B read with 34, respectively of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short, "the IPC") came to be allowed.

2. The de-facto complainant being dissatisfied with the order passed by the High Court releasing the accused on bail is here before us with the present petition.

3. We heard Mr.Zulfiqar Ali Khan, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner-defacto complainant, Mr. Aniket Tiwari, the learned counsel appearing for the State and Mr. Animesh Upadhyay, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.2, respectively.

4. *Prima facie*, it appears that all throughout the trial, the accused persons tried to tamper with the prosecution evidence.

In this regard, we are informed that two FIRs have also been lodged and at the end of the investigation charge-sheets have been filed. In fact, State should have taken appropriate steps in accordance with law to get the bail cancelled on the ground that the prosecution witnesses were being harassed and tampered with.

6. Be that as it may, it is too late in the day now to cancel the bail as the trial is at the fag end.

7. We direct the Trial Court to see that the trial is completed with judgment within a period of three months from today.

6. State shall proceed against the accused persons insofar as the case put up by the de-facto complainant regarding harassment, tampering, etc. is concerned.

7. With the aforesaid, the Special Leave Petition stands disposed of.

8. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(CHANDRESH)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(POOJA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)