



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 617/2026

Madan Mohan Meghwal S/o Babu Lal Meghwal, Aged About 40 Years, R/o House No. 525, Pushp Kunj Colony, Near Jawahar Nagar, Baran, Rajasthan.

----Appellant

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Director, Secondary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. The Principal, Govt. Sr. Secondary School, Kota Road, Baran City, Baran.

----Respondents

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Aamir Khan
Mr. Giri Raj Rajoria

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA (V.J)
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR (V.J.)

Judgment

15/06/2026

PER HON'BLE MR. PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR, J.

1. The present intra court appeal has been preferred against the order dated 27.05.2026 passed by the learned Single Judge whereby the writ petition filed by the appellant challenging his transfer order dated 10.01.2026 and reliving order dated 02.05.2026 has been dismissed.

2. The learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is working on the post of Lecturer (Geography) at Government Senior Secondary School, Kota Road, Baran City, District Baran, and has been transferred to Government Senior





Secondary School, Denok, District Phalodi, which is situated at a far distant place. It is further submitted that District Baran is one of the aspirational districts notified by the State Government pursuant to the policy decision taken in furtherance of the Aspirational Districts Programme of NITI Aayog, and therefore, the employees posted in such districts ought not to be transferred and relieved unless a substitute posting is made. It is also contended that a vacant post was available in District Baran and the appellant could have been accommodated there.

3. We have considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the appellant and have gone through the material available on record.

4. It is well settled that transfer is an incidence of service and the scope of judicial review in transfer matters is very limited unless the order is shown to be mala fide or in contravention of any statutory provision. The policy/guidelines relied upon by the appellant regarding aspirational districts are administrative in nature and do not confer any indefeasible legal right upon the appellant.

5. The learned Single Judge has rightly declined to interfere in the matter. Therefore, we do not find any illegality, perversity, or jurisdictional error warranting interference in the intra-court appeal.

6. Consequently, the Special Appeal as well as the stay application are hereby dismissed in limine.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR (V.J.)),J

(GANESH RAM MEENA (V.J.)),J

2/BRIJ MOHAN GANDHI/77

