



\$~16

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8116/2026, CM APPL. 38678/2026

NATIONAL STUDENTS UNION OF INDIA .....Petitioner

Through: Md. Ali Khan, Mr. Rishav Ranjan,  
Mr. Omar Hoda, Ms. EeshaBakshi,  
Mr. Ajay Chhikara, Ms. Ayesha  
Khan, Mr. Vimal Tyagi and Mr.  
Prashant Kamal, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. ....Respondents

Through: Mr. Tushar Metha, Solicitor General  
Mr. M. A. Niyazi, SC for CBSE with  
Ms. Anamika Ghai, Ms. Kirti  
Bhardwaj & Ms. Nehmat Sethi, Advs.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN**

**ORDER**

% **12.06.2026**

1. Writ Petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of appropriate directions against large-scale irregularities in the On-Screen (OS) Marking System implemented by the CBSE for Class XII Board Examinations.

2. It is submitted that Petitioner organization is one of the largest student representative bodies in the country and has been continuously representing issues concerning students' examination, educational institutions and public education policy. There are serious concerns about the fairness, transparency and reliability of the national examination process, which has direct bearing on the future of the students. Serious concerns regarding the implementation



of OS Marking System by CBSE have been expressed.

3. The Respondent No. 2 itself, has acknowledged in its own public communication that the portal for obtaining the scanned copies of answer books suffered technical glitches and that 1,27,146 applications concerning 3,87,399 scanned answer books had been submitted in a short time. The figure reflects that extraordinary level of concern and lack of confidence amongst the students regarding the process.

4. The Respondent No. 2 issued repeated extension of time for obtaining scanned copies and further public clarification acknowledging students' concern and technical issues.

5. The Petitioner submitted that the issue is systemic and not individual. The students, whose answer sheets were properly scanned and evaluated, scanned in a different position from those whose answer sheets were affected by scanning defects, mismatch, errors or technical failures. Hence, the prayer is made to issue the directions to the Respondents to keep the portal open for verification and re-evaluation of answer sheets of effected students for one month, to permit manual rechecking and physical verification of answer sheets in cases where students dispute the correctness of the scanned copies or the evaluation process; directing independent enquiry into the large scale irregularities, deficiencies, technical issues and grievances related to failure concerning OS System and to award compensatory higher marks to students whose answer sheets are missing/incorrectly checked for no fault of theirs.

6. Learned Solicitor General has made the submissions that the window had already been opened from 19.05.2026 till 25.05.2026, wherein four lakh students had applied, and the portal for re-evaluation was opened from



02.06.2026 till 06.06.2026, which was extended thereafter till 07.06.2026.

7. 3.8 lakh papers have been submitted for re-evaluation. In case any directions are given for opening the portal, it may impact 17.8 lakh students who have appeared, as their results may get delayed.

8. It is further submitted that individual students had approached the CBSE with their individual grievances, which were duly addressed.

9. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the matter be listed before the Roster Bench.

10. Those students who are aggrieved may approach the appropriate forum for relief.

11. Accordingly, list the matter before the Roster Bench on 06.07.2026.

**NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J  
(VACATION JUDGE)**

**MADHU JAIN, J  
(VACATION JUDGE)**

**JUNE 12, 2026/ys/rm**