

Neutral Citation:.....

Date of reserved for orders :

Date of pronouncement : 22.06.2026

Date of uploading :

APHC010013702026



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3332]**

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 969/2026**

**Between:**

1. SRI SAI WINES, DOOR NO. 15-9-152, NUTHAKKI VILLAGE, MANGALAGIRI MANDAL, GUNTUR DISTRICT, REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR, SRI PALLA AKHIL REDDY, S/O PALLA KARUNAKAR REDDY, AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS, R/O FLAT NO. 501, USHODHAYA HOMES, ROCK HILLS COLONY, LB NAGAR, MANSOORABAD, K.V. RANGAREDDY, TELANGANA-500068.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. THE UNION OF INDIA, REPRESENTED BY MINISTRY OF FINANCE, JEEVANDEEP BUILDING, 3RD FLOOR, PARLIAMENT STREET, NEW DELHI-110001.

2. THE RESERVE BANK OF INDIA, REPRESENTED BY ITS GOVERNOR, SANSAD . MARG, NEW DELHI-110001.

3. THE STATE BANK OF INDIA, MANGALAGIRI BRANCH (BRANCH CODE 01011), REPRESENTED BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER, GUNTUR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH - 522503.

4.THE OFFICERINCHARGE/CYBER CELL OFFICER, , CYBER CELL (CODE 64890), PATNA DISTRICT, STATE OF BIHAR - 800001.

5.THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, , BARIARPUR POLICE STATION, MUNGER DISTRICT, STATE OF BIHAR- 811211.

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus,declaring the action of the respondents more particularly the respondents 3 to 5 herein in freezing the bank account of the petitioner account bearing No. 43461149232 with the 3rd respondent without there being any prior notice, without disclosure of reasons, and without providing an opportunity of hearing, as illegal, arbitrary, violative of Articles 14, 19(1)(g) and 21 and 300- A of the Constitution of India and contrary to the Principles of Natural Justice consequentially direct the Respondents to defreeze the bank account of the petitioner bearing No. 43461149232 with the 3rd respondent and to pass

**IA NO: 1 OF 2026**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the Respondents to defreeze the bank account of the petitioner bearing No. 43461149232 with the 3rd respondent pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1.CHETAN PONNURU

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1.J U M V PRASAD (CENTRAL GOVERNMENT COUNSEL)

**The Court made the following:**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI****WRIT PETITION NO: 969/2026****ORDER:**

The case of the petitioner is that he is a licensed wine trader vide proceedings L.No.G.SI.No.GTR/34/2024-26, dated 30.10.2024, and is running business under the name and style of M/s Sri Sai Wines at D.No.15-9-152, Nuthakki Village, Mangalagiri Mandal, Guntur District, for which he opened a current account with State Bank of India, Mangalagiri Branch/the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent herein vide Account No.43461149232. While so, on 19.08.2025, the petitioner's account was abruptly freezed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent. Having noticed the same, he approached the said Branch and enquired about the freezing of his account, pursuant to which a letter dated 15.09.2025 was served on him.

2. It is his further case that a complaint has been lodged with the 5<sup>th</sup> respondent-Station House Officer, Bariarpur Police Station, under the instructions of the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent-Cyber Cell Officer, Patna District, and upon the instructions of the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent, the petitioner's bank account has been freezed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent. A registered legal notice was also issued to the Bank/the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent herein and the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent. By virtue of the freezing of the petitioner's account, he is unable to operate the same for conducting his business, wherein an amount of Rs.8,26,633/- is lying. The said action in freezing the petitioner's account, without notice and without any speaking order, has been assailed in the present writ petition.

3. Heard Sri Chetan Ponnuru, learned counsel for the petitioner. Despite service of notice, the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> respondents did not choose to put up their appearance.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, while reiterating the contents of the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, contended that the petitioner's bank account has been freezed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent-Bank at the instance of the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent, without issuing notice and without following due process of law. As on the date of freezing of the petitioner's account, an amount of Rs.8,26,633/- was lying therein and, by virtue of the such freezing, the petitioner is unable to carry on his business and his money has got stuck for no fault of his. He further contended that the petitioner is running a retail outlet (liquor shop), wherein one individual purchased liquor worth Rs.1,000/- and paid the amount through UPI (Unified Payments Interface). As the said individual indulged in a cheating case, and since the amount of Rs.1,000/- had been transferred to the petitioner's account towards such purchase, the petitioner's account has been freezed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent at the instance of the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent/Cyber Cell. The manner in which the petitioner's account has been seized is unsustainable, contrary to law and in clear violation of principles of natural justice, that too when there is no fault on the part of the petitioner. He further contended that, nowadays, in almost all petty businesses, transactions are carried out through UPI and no petty vendor can verify the conduct and credentials of the buyers before accepting the amount through UPI. If the freezing of the petitioner's account continues, he would be put to irreparable loss and hardship and accordingly prayed to allow the writ petition.

5. Perused the record and considered the submissions.

6. As could be culled out from the facts and circumstances of the case, the material available on record and the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, a transaction took place on 19.08.2025 for an amount of Rs.1,000/- in the name of Sri Sai Wines vide transaction No.461984251. It is the case of the petitioner that an individual, on that particular date, purchased liquor worth Rs.1,000/- by making payment through UPI and that a cheating case has been registered against the said individual in Patna District, Bihar State. Upon noticing that the transaction had been done through the said individual's account, the petitioner's account has been freezed at the instance of the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent/Cyber Cell without notice and without following due process of law. No doubt, with regard to transactions in petty businesses, amounts are being transferred between vendors and vendees through PhonePe, and Google Pay, etc., by using UPI (Unified Payments Interface). As rightly contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner, if any individual purchases goods and pays through UPI using the above said applications, the vendor cannot verify the credentials of such individual. Without verifying the credentials of the petitioner and without noticing his involvement in any criminal case registered against the said individual, the authorities cannot freeze the petitioner's account. The manner in which the petitioner account has been freezed is unsustainable and contrary to law. The petitioner has made out a case warranting interference and the freezing the petitioner's account is illegal.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed directing the respondent-Bank to defreeze the petitioner's account No.43461149232. The Writ Petition is dismissed against the 5<sup>th</sup> respondent as not pressed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI**

Date: 22.06.2026.  
JLV

Whether the order is:

|            |  |                |  |
|------------|--|----------------|--|
| Speaking   |  | Reasoned       |  |
| Reportable |  | Non-reportable |  |

67

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 969/2026**

Date: 22.06.2026  
JLV