



\$~3

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

#

CNR No. DLHC010254182025

+

CONT.CAS.(CRL) 7/2025

COURT ON ITS OWN MOTION

.....Petitioner

Through:

versus

RAKESH KUMAR, ADVOCATE

.....Respondent

Through: Respondent in person.

Dr. Amit George, *Amicus Curiae*.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

%

20.08.2026

1. After hearing the learned *Amicus Curiae* and the respondent/contemnor who appears in person and having perused the allegations contained in the reference as also the affidavit filed by the respondent/contemnor, we frame the following Charge against the respondent/contemnor:

- i. That on 03.04.2025, during the course of hearing an application filed under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, in Misc. DJ No. 282/2025 pending before the Court of Sh. M. K. Nagpal, District Judge, Commercial Court-13, Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi [hereinafter referred as 'Court'], titled as "Ankit Sarda vs. ETA Engineering Pvt. Ltd.", you had raised your voice and leveled serious allegations against the Court by saying that it was acting in a biased manner in the above-stated case and was intentionally passing orders against your client. You further alleged, by using un-parliamentary language, that the Court had erroneously dismissed the suit filed on behalf of your client on a prior occasion on the account of default on the part of your client. That, furthermore, you started shouting and using highly objectionable language to the extent of blaming



all presiding officers of the Court in question and saying that nothing has been done by them except passing of mechanical, biased and adverse orders. That you also threatened the Court by stating that if contempt proceedings are initiated against you, then you would make it a personal issue between yourself and the presiding officer, and file retaliatory complaints against the said presiding officer. That you kept on using objectionable language despite repeated warnings from the Court to maintain decorum. That the excerpts of language used by you for the Court, that are being complained of, are reproduced hereinunder:

"Main apse dar ke..or jo apko likhna hai aap likh dijiye, mai vo advocate nahi hu jo ji janab ji huzur karu, I am standing with my rights and I will take it either from you or from the Delhi High Court and thereafter from the Supreme Court and now this is not the dispute of case, now this is the dispute between you and me and I challenge you also ..apko jaha jana hai jayen jo karna hai kariye and I will not spare you, chahe isme mere 10 lakh kharch ho jaaye behind you."

"...Kaam proper se karte nahi hai ap log.. mai bhi apke khilaf jaunga..contempt mai kya kar lenge ap mera ..sab kuch likhiye ap..mai bhi RTI lagake apke saare record check karata hu..mai kyu koi date mention karu apka staff kya muh dekhne ke liye baitha hai ...aap jabardasti ungal kar rahe hai k koi apke against action le le"

"Apke andar jaan nahi hai kya..I know you.. hai hi nahi, kuch nahi jaante mere baare mai.. I know you kitna zameer hai"

"Apko salary milti hai ap mere upar koi ahsaan nahi kar rahe, mere tax se apki salary jati hai mai 30% ke Slab mai aata hu mai salary de raha hu apko...aap yaha baith ke dadagiri karenge kya, apki baat sunte rahe yaha baith ke, ap kahe ..yes sir, mai apka naukar hun kya."

"Kyo itna research kar rahe ho thoda case pe research karte to case nipat jata ..humare



jaisa advocate bhaut kuch sikha dega, chamcha giri karne k liye advocate ki degree nahi liye hain.. tum jaise judges ki...ye bhi likhva do ...yes likhvaao na tum,,tumhari aukat ka pata hai mujhe, and I won't spare you tum yaad rakho.. I won't spare you in any way tumhari aukat ka bhi pata hai mujhe. I know your level and standard. Naukaro ki tarh tum sochte ho ke wakeel yaha aaye or poonch hilaye. Kaam karne ko salary milta hai tumhe yaha na ke bakwaas karne ko. Agar tum ne uss din itna time de diya hota to ye itna problem create nahi hota..jo karna hai kar lena tumhari aukat dekh li maine.”

By the above act, which was committed in the open court and in full view of those present, you have lowered the authority of the Court and attempted to interfere with the due course of judicial proceedings and the administration of justice, thereby committing criminal contempt in accordance with Section 2(c) of the Contempt of Court Act, 1971 and punishable under Section 12 the said Act.

2. The above Charge has been read over and explained to the respondent/contemnor present in person. He was asked as to whether he pleads guilty to the above Charge, he pleaded not guilty & claimed that he would contest the charges.
3. The respondent/contemnor further requests that the audio-video recording of the court proceedings of 03.04.2025 of the Court of Mr. M. K. Nagpal, District Judge, Commercial Court-13, Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in Misc. DJ No. 282/2025 titled “Ankit Sarda vs. ETA Engineering Pvt. Ltd.”, be also requisitioned.
4. We direct that the same be requisitioned. The learned Principal District & Sessions Judge, Central District, shall retrieve the recording of the court proceedings of the said date and shall transmit the same to



us.

5. The respondent/contemnor present in person further submits that the entire copy of the present reference has not been received by him.

6. Though, this is the first time he is making such a complaint, he may approach the registry and obtain complete copy of the present reference.

7. The respondent/contemnor may file the response to the above Charge within a period of four weeks from today.

8. List on 15th October, 2026 along with CONT.CAS.(CRL) 9/2025.

9. The respondent/contemnor shall remain personally present on the next date of hearing.

10. Copy of this order be given dasti.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

AUGUST 20, 2026/lks/sk/pb