



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49355-2026

Date of decision :02.09.2026

BALWANT SINGH RAJOANA

... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. P.S. Hundal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Gursahib Singh Hundal, Advocate and
Ms. Arshpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with
Ms. Saigeeta Srivastava, Advocate
for respondent No.1-UoI.

Mr. Amit Jhanji, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate and
Mr. Nitesh Jhahria, Advocate
for respondent No.2-U.T., Chd.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Wasu, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 528 BNSS / 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to the respondent No.4 to allow the petitioner to get his eyes operated from an Eye Surgeon of Dr. R.S. Randhawa Eye Hospital, 89-MP+2Q3, Fateh Colony, Patiala, Punjab at his own expenses in case FIR No.9 dated 31.08.1995 registered under Sections 302 IPC r/w Sections 120-B IPC, 307 IPC r/w Section 120-B IPC, 306 r/w Section 109/120-B IPC and Section 3(b) r/w Section 6,

4(b), 2(i) r/w Section 6/5(b)(ii) r/w Section 6 of Explosive Substances Act.

2. The learned Senior Counsel, very fairly, concedes that the petitioner would have no grievance whatsoever if he is examined at PGIMER, Chandigarh and is provided appropriate treatment.

3. The learned Senior counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 submit that the petitioner shall be taken immediately to the Department of Ophthalmology, PGIMER, Chandigarh and shall be provided adequate treatment.

4. Heard.

5. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

6. The present petition is accordingly, disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

02.09.2026
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No