



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010394282026

+ **CS(COMM) 922/2026**

SIGNATUREGLOBAL (INDIA) LIMITEDPlaintiff

Through: **Mr. Rishabh Srivastav, Advocate.**

versus

ASHOK KUMAR /JOHN DOE & ORS.Defendants

Through: **Ms. Sweta Sahu, Advocate for D-2
and D-3/GoDaddy.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

% **14.09.2026**

Matter is taken up today as 11.09.2026 was declared holiday vide Notification No.86/G-4/Genl.-I/DHC dated 08.09.2026.

I.A. 22938/2026 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stand disposed of.

I.A. 22936/2026 (for pre-institution mediation)

3. This application is filed on behalf of the Plaintiff under Section 12-A of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 read with Section 151 CPC seeking exemption from Pre-Institution Mediation.
4. Having regard to the facts of the present case wherein urgent relief is prayed for and in light of the judgment of Supreme Court in ***Yamini Manohar v. T.K.D. Keerthi, (2024) 5 SCC 815***, as also Division Bench of



this Court in *Chandra Kishore Chaurasia v. RA Perfumery Works Private Ltd., 2022 SCC OnLine Del 3529*, exemption is granted to the Plaintiff from Pre-Institution Mediation.

5. Application is allowed and disposed of.

I.A. 22937/2026 (u/O XI Rule 1 (4) r/w Section 151 CPC)

6. This application is filed on behalf of the Plaintiff seeking to place on record additional documents.

7. Plaintiff, if it wishes to file additional documents at a later stage, shall do so strictly in accordance with provisions of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015.

8. Application is allowed and disposed of.

CS(COMM) 922/2026

9. Let plaint be registered as a suit.

10. Issue summons.

11. Ms. Sweta Sahu, learned counsel accepts summons on behalf of Defendants No. 2 and 3.

12. Upon filing of process fee, issue summons to remaining Defendants through all permissible modes, returnable before the learned Joint Registrar on 06.10.2026.

13. Summons shall state that the written statement shall be filed by Defendant No. 1 within 30 days from the date of receipt of summons along with affidavit of admission/denial of the documents filed by the Plaintiff.

14. It will be open to the Plaintiff to file replication within 30 days from receipt of the written statement along with affidavit of admission/denial of documents filed by the Defendant No. 1.



15. If any of the parties wish to seek inspection of any documents, the same be sought and given within the timeline prescribed in Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018.

16. Learned Joint Registrar shall carry out admission/denial of documents and marking of exhibits.

I.A. 22935/2026 (u/O XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 r/w Section 151 CPC)

17. This application is filed on behalf of the Plaintiff under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 of CPC for grant of *ex parte* ad interim injunction.

18. Issue notice.

19. Ms. Sweta Sahu, learned counsel enters appearance on behalf of Defendants No. 2 and 3.

20. Issue notice to remaining Defendants through all permissible modes, returnable before Court on 21.01.2027.

21. This suit is instituted by the Plaintiff, stated to be a leading publicly listed real estate development company engaged in the business of development of affordable, mid-income and premium residential and commercial projects across NCR and other parts of North India. As averred in the plaint, Plaintiff has since its incorporation built an enviable reputation in the real estate industry through successful development and delivery of numerous residential townships, independent floors, plotted developments and commercial projects.

22. It is stated that Plaintiff has earned immense goodwill and recognition amongst home buyers, investors, financial institutions and members of trade, who associate the trademarks **SIGNATUREGLOBAL/**



with the Plaintiff alone. Plaintiff has delivered over 17.9 million square feet of development and has more than 52 projects and services over 41,000 customers. Plaintiff is the registered proprietor of the SIGNATUREGLOBAL trademarks and has been continuously using the same since their adoption. Plaintiff is also registered in Class 36 relating to real estate affairs and Class 37 relating to building construction and development services and the registrations are valid and subsisting and confer on the Plaintiff exclusive right to use the SIGNATUREGLOBAL marks as also to restrain third-parties from infringing them. Details of registrations are as follows:

Trade Mark	Trademark Nos.	Class	User Claimed	Date of Application	Status
SIGNATURE GLOBAL	4566805	36	18.06.2014	13.07.2020	Registered and valid up to 13.07.2030
SIGNATURE GLOBAL	4566806	37	18.06.2014	13.07.2020	Registered and valid up to 13.07.2030
 SIGNATURE GLOBAL	2724278	36	18.06.2014	24.04.2014	Registered and valid up to 24.04.2034
 SIGNATURE GLOBAL REALTY. RELIABILITY. RESPONSIBILITY.	5306834	36	12.01.2021	31.01.2022	Registered and valid up to



					31.01.2032
	6038957	36	Proposed to be used	26.07.2023	Registered and valid up to 26.07.2033
	6038964	37	Proposed to be used	26.07.2023	Registered and valid up to 26.07.2033
	4944244	36	Proposed to be used	14.04.2021	Registered and valid up to 26.07.2031
	4584137	36	Proposed to be used	28.07.2020	Registered and valid up to 28.07.2030
	3878174	36	Proposed to be used	09.02.2017	Registered and valid up to 09.02.2027
	6406098	37	Proposed to be used	26.04.2024	Registered and valid up to 26.04.2034

23. It is stated that the present suit is necessitated on account of dishonest and unauthorized adoption and use of Plaintiff's registered

trademarks SIGNATUREGLOBAL/ as also corporate name, domain name, branding, project name and overall trade dress by Defendant No. 1 through a series of deceptively similar websites: <https://signatureglobalfloors.co.in/>, <https://signatureglobal.realtorprojects.>



[com/](#), <https://signature-project-gurgaon.com/>, <https://www.signatureglobalgreaternoida.com/>, <https://signatureglobalnoida.in/> and <https://www.signatureglobalbuilders.com/>. Plaintiff has further discovered that Defendant No. 1 is also operating websites: <https://lamborghiniresidencesgurgaon.in/>, <https://toninolamborghini-residences.com/> and <https://signaturetoninolamborghini.com/>, whereby it is dishonestly reproducing and displaying Plaintiff's copyrighted project renders, photographs, literary content, promotional material, project descriptions, maps and other proprietary content relating to Plaintiff's luxury residential project development pursuant to its exclusive collaboration with Tonino Lamborghini, falsely representing an association and affiliation with the Plaintiff.

24. It is stated that Plaintiff became aware of the impugned websites during online investigation undertaken to monitor unauthorized use of its valuable intellectual property. Investigation revealed that Defendant No.1 had dishonestly incorporated Plaintiff's registered marks



SIGNATUREGLOBAL/ , corporate name, logo etc. While some impugned websites have incorporated the registered mark SIGNATUREGLOBAL as the dominant and essential feature of their domain names by merely appending descriptive or geographical expressions such as 'Builders', 'Floors', 'Projects', 'Gurugaon', 'Greater Noida' etc., others have wrongfully reproduced and commercially exploited Plaintiff's proprietary contents and material relating to luxury residential project development and many are displaying Plaintiff's logos falsely representing an association/affiliation.



25. Learned counsel for the Plaintiff submits that the impugned websites are using Plaintiff's registered marks SIGNATUREGLOBAL as also logos as well as copyrighted work and overall getup and presentation of the websites etc. for advertising and promoting residential and commercial real estate projects. Plaintiff is a registered proprietor of the SIGNATUREGLOBAL trademarks and is entitled to use them exclusively. Use of identical marks by Defendant No.1 on the impugned websites for identical services is causing confusion amongst members of public and trade and amounts to infringement under Section 29 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999. Defendant No. 1 has no right, title or license or authorization to use the registered marks, corporate name, domain name or the copyrighted works of the Plaintiff. Clearly, the purpose of adoption of the trademarks SIGNATUREGLOBAL is to misrepresent to members of public that Defendant No. 1 has some association with the Plaintiff, so as to encash on the formidable goodwill and reputation of the Plaintiff for unlawful monetary gains. This is causing irreparable harm and injury to Plaintiff's goodwill and reputation and amounts to passing off. The registrant details of impugned websites are either concealed or masked in the WHOIS records and hence, Plaintiff is unable to identify persons actually responsible for operating the websites.

26. Heard learned counsel for the Plaintiff and perused the records. In my view, Plaintiff has made out a *prima facie* case for grant of *ex parte* ad interim injunction. Balance of convenience lies in favour of the Plaintiff and if the relief sought is not granted, irreparable loss shall be caused.

27. Accordingly, till the next date of hearing, Defendant No.1 and all others acting on its behalf are restrained from registering/operating/



hosting/publishing/advertising or in any other manner dealing with domain names given in the plaint in regard to websites: <https://signatureglobalfloors.co.in/>, <https://signatureglobal.realtorprojects.com/>, <https://signature-project-gurgaon.com/>, <https://www.signatureglobalgreaternoida.com/>, <https://signatureglobalnoida.in/>, <https://www.signatureglobalbuilders.com/> as also <https://lamborghiniresidencesgurgaon.in/>, <https://toninolamborghini-residences.com/> and <https://signaturetoninolamborghini.com/> and/or any website/domains/sub-domains/URLs/online platforms/landing pages or digital listings, which incorporate Plaintiff's

registered trademarks SIGNATUREGLOBAL/  , either as a standalone mark or in combination with any prefix, suffix, misspelt words, transliterations, representations, logos, device marks, domain names, meta tags, keywords or any other trademark deceptively similar to Plaintiff's marks or deceptively similar copyrighted work, get-up/trade dress/presentation, amounting to infringement of trademarks and copyright and/or passing off.

28. Defendant No. 5/Hosting Concepts B.V. d/b/a Openprovider is directed to lock and suspend the domain name at Serial No.1 in **ANNEXURE-A** to this order. Defendant No. 3/GoDaddy.com LLC shall lock and suspend domain names at Serial Nos. 2 and 4 in **ANNEXURE-A** and Defendant No.4/HOSTINGER operations, UAV shall lock and suspend domain name at Serial No.3 while Defendant No. 2 shall lock and suspend domain name at Serial No.5 and Defendant No.6/WIX.com Ltd. shall lock and suspend domain name at Serial No.6 of **ANNEXURE-A** to this order.



The needful shall be done by the Defendants within 72 hours from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

29. It is further directed the Defendant No.7/NAMECHEAP shall lock and suspend domain name at Serial No.1 in ANNEXURE-B to this order and Defendant No.8/Realtime Register B.V. shall lock and suspend the domain at Serial No.2. Defendant No.2/GoDaddy.com LLC shall lock and suspend domain name at Serial No.3 of ANNEXURE-B. The needful shall be done by the Defendants within 72 hours from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

30. At this stage, learned counsel for GoDaddy.com LLC submits that the domain at Serial No.4 of ANNEXURE-A has expired on 15.08.2026 and at this stage, she is unsure of the redemption status of the domain. In light of this, liberty is granted to the said Defendant to file an appropriate application in case it is unable to comply with the direction in respect of the said domain name.

31. Defendants No. 2 to 8 are further directed to disclose in sealed covers/password protected documents complete details of Defendant No.1, to the extent available, including email address, mobile number, contact details, payment details and KYC details, within four weeks from today. Compliance affidavits in respect of locking and suspending the domain names, as directed above, shall be filed within six weeks from today by the respective Defendants.

32. Plaintiff shall comply with the provisions of Order XXXIX Rule 3 CPC within a period of two weeks from today.

JYOTI SINGH, J

SEPTEMBER 14, 2026/AK



ANNEXURE-A

S.no	Details of Impugned websites	Domain Registrar/ Defendant
1.	https://signatureglobalfloors.co.in/	Defendant No. 5 – Hosting Concepts B.V. d/b/a Openprovider
2.	https://signatureglobal.realtorprojects.com	Defendant No. 3 - GoDaddy.com LLC
3.	https://signature-project-gurgaon.com/	Defendant No. 4 - HOSTINGER operations, UAB
4.	https://www.signatureglobalgreater-noida.com/	Defendant No. 3 - GoDaddy.com LLC
5.	https://signatureglobalnoida.in/	Defendant No. 2- GoDaddy.
6.	https://www.signatureglobalbuilders.com/	Defendant No. 6 – Wix.com ltd.

ANNEXURE-B

S no	Details of Impugned websites	Domain Registrar/ Defendant
1.	https://lamborghiniresidencesgurgaon.in/	Defendant No. 7 - NAMECHEAP
2.	https://toninolamborghini-residences.com/	Defendant No. 8 - Realtime Register B. V
3.	https://signaturetoninolamborghini.com/	Defendant No. 2 - GoDaddy.com LLC