



\$~188

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
CNR No. DLHC010138832026

+ **W.P.(C) 4549/2026**
M/S HENTX SOLUTIONS PVT. LTD. AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr Prabhav Ralli, Ms Deeya Mittal,
Mr Dev Vrat Arya, Mr Samraat Saxena,
Advocates

versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Santosh Kumar Rout Standing
Counsel

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH
ORDER
% **07.10.2026**

CM APPL. 69848/2026

1. This is an application on behalf of the petitioners filed under Section 151 of CPC, 1908, seeking interim reliefs.
2. For the reasons stated in the application, issue notice.
3. Mr. Rout, learned standing counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No. 1, seeks and is granted time to file a reply.
4. Issue notice to all other respondent(s) through all modes, including electronic on the petitioners taking steps within 1 week from today, returnable on 04.12.2026.
5. The brief facts of the present case are that the petitioner No. 1 company



maintains a bank account with respondent No. 1 bank. The petitioner No. 2 is the Director/Authorized Representative of the petitioner No. 1 company. In June, 2025, certain lien amounts started appearing and subsequently, a debit freeze was imposed by the respondent No. 1 bank on the bank account of the petitioners bearing No. 00000044113107202 maintained with respondent No. 1 bank. Despite several communications from the petitioners to the respondent No. 1 bank enquiring about the status of the debit freeze on the said bank account, no response was received.

6. Hence, the present petition was filed.

7. It is the case of the petitioner that as on 23.09.2026, there was only a lien of Rs. 13,87,796.66/- on the aforesaid bank account as reflected in the bank statement, in view of which the petitioners wanted to utilize the remaining funds of approximately Rs. 13 lakhs. When the mother of petitioner No. 2 visited the respondent No. 1 bank for the said purpose on 25.09.2026, she was informed that the remaining balance could not be utilized at the moment and the same was about to be transferred to some complainant in South India.

8. In the present case, the amount is standing to the credit of the petitioners' bank account and the issue for consideration before this Court is whether the respondent No. 1 bank can impose such lien and/or debit freeze on the petitioners' bank account.

9. I am of the view that no prejudice will be caused to the respondent No. 1 bank if the amount under lien continues to remain in the bank account of the petitioners. If status *quo* in the interim is not granted on the lien amount, the petition could be rendered infructuous, and the loss to the petitioners would be grave. Therefore, a *prima facie* case is made out in favour of the petitioners



and balance of convenience also lies in favour of the petitioners.

10. If in case, the amount which is put on debit freeze by the respondent No. 1 bank is permitted to be transferred to some other agency/individual, the same will cause irreparable loss and injury to the petitioners.

11. For the said reasons, the respondent No. 1 bank shall maintain a status *quo* on the amount which has been put on debit freeze/lien by the respondent No. 1 bank and further, the respondent No. 1 bank shall not release the amount to any third party during the pendency of this petition.

12. List on 04.12.2026.

JASMEET SINGH, J

OCTOBER 7, 2026/AS